

The applicant has received and reviewed the office action of May 5, 2005 wherein Examiner Pelham stated claims 1-15 were allowed but objected to claims 21-24 because of misnumbering. Claims 16, 21 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 4,888,472 with the caveat that claims 17-19 and 23 and 24 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and an intervening claim.

In response thereto claim 16 and claim 17 have been combined to place claim 16 and its dependent claims in allowable condition. In addition old claim 22 and 23 have been combined to place old claim 22 (now claim 21) in allowable condition. Finally, the claims have been reviewed for typographical errors with claims 9, 11, 12, 14 and 23 amended to correct for typographical errors.

It is submitted that claims 1-23 are now in allowable condition and a notice of allowance is requested.